

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

- PRIVATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 09/537,786	03/29/2000	Masatsugu Fujii	FUJX17,182	7367
,			EXAMINER	
26304 KATTEN M	7590 01/30/2004 IUCHIN ZAVIS RO	CHOW, CHARLES CHIANG		
575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2685	9
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No. Applicant(s)				
	09/537,786	FUJII, MASATSUGU			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Charles Chow	2685			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 N</u>	ovember 2003.	•			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language process.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e st sentence of the specification or	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific					
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.					
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2685

Office Action for Applicant's Amendment Received on 11/06/2003

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 2, 8, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Titchener (US 4,670,890) in view of Kawazoe et al. (US 5,327,441).

Regarding **claim 1**, Titchener teaches a coding assisting equipment (as the apparatus and method for encoding and decoding codes, abstract, figure in cover page, Fig. 1-10, for transmitting variable length coded string of data, abstract, and for enabling easy synchronization for decoding, col. 1, lines 7-14; summary of invention, col. 1, line 56 to col. 3, line 31).

Titchener teaches the operating-object holding means for sequentially holding each word respectively consisting of plural bits (as the (c) buffer means for buffering each selected input code sequentially in turn, col. 45, lines 12-13).

Titchener teaches the constant word length (as the (a) an input buffer means for receiving each fixed length symbol to be converted to variable length symbols wherein the length of the fixed length code is m+1 (col. 46, lines 40-43).

Titchener teaches the argument holding means for holding an argument applied to an

Art Unit: 2685

operation that is performed on a word that is subsequently held by operating—object holding means (as the augment code buffer 33 applied to prefixing coding operating, figure in cover page; the augment code buffer 22 holds the incoming augment code from the input code 29 set, as the claimed operating-object holding means, as shown in figure in cover page; the original character set C⁰ is augmented 9 times until C^q is exhansted, abstract, col. 41, lines 11-67).

Titchener teaches the that is included in said word being held by operating-object holding means and/or the result performed in advanced being held by operation-object holding means (the input code set 29 is holding the augment code result performed in advanced before receiving the next output code set on input 36 to be operated with the input 26, base set, figure in cover page, col. 41, lines 28-38).

Titchener teaches the holding an argument for an operation that is performed on a word that is subsequently held by the operating-object holding means, and the argument being include in said word being held by said operating object holding means and/or results of an operation performed in advance on the word being held by said operation-object means, the augmented code for generating variable length code by holding input code in the 29 (the inputting source code into memory means col. 47, lines 20-22, abstract, Fig. 6b, Fig. 8) for the objection for logic operation, and the subsequent augment codes, C¹...C^{q-1}, coming from output code set 35 (Fig. 6b) to applying code augmentation at 29 with input base set 28 (Fig. 6b) for the argument being applied to the subsequent operation. Titchener teaches the word is added a bit fed sequentially by monitoring and augmenting each bit to word C⁰ to C^q (col. 40, lines 25-35), and augmented binary codes (col. 9, line 55 to col. 10, line 25).

Art Unit: 2685

Titchener does not clearly teach the logical operation on a combination of logic values. Regarding the logic operation on to be performed on a combination of logic values and the convolutional encoding, Kawazoe et al. ("Kawazoe") teaches the simple convolutional encoder and decoder of arbitrary length with arbitrary coding rate (col. 3, 40-43, col. 3, lines 40-68; col. 13, lines 20-53). Kawazoe teaches the logic operation to be performed on combination logic value for the convolutional encoder (as shown in Fig. 4-5, col. 4. line 46 to col. 7, line 59). Kawazoe teaches the convolutional decoder with logic operation and combination logic value (as shown in Fig. 12, col. 4, lines 33-36). Kawazoe teaches an efficient convolutional encoder/decoder, such that the encoder/decoder can be simpler (col. 3, lines 26-43). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify and include Titchener and to include Kawazoe's logic operation and combination logic for the convolutional encoder/decoder, such that the digital processing could be efficient on encoding and decoding. Regarding claim 2, Titchener teaches a decoding assisting equipment (as the apparatus and method for encoding and decoding codes, abstract, figure in cover page, Fig. 1-10, for transmitting variable length coded string of data, abstract, and for enabling easy synchronization for decoding, col. 1, lines 7-14; summary of invention, col. 1, line 56 to col. 3, line 31). Titchener teaches the operating-object holding means for sequentially holding each word respectively consisting of plural bits (as the (c) buffer means for buffering each selected input code sequentially in turn, col. 45, lines 12-13). Titchener teaches the constant word length (as the (a) an input buffer means for receiving each fixed length symbol to be

Art Unit: 2685

converted to variable length symbols wherein the length of the fixed length code is m+1 (col. 46, lines 40-43). Titchener teaches the argument holding means for holding an argument applied to an operation that is performed on a word that is subsequently held by operating—object holding means (as the augment code buffer 33 applied to prefixing coding operating, figure in cover page; the augment code buffer 22 holds the incoming augment code from the input code 29 set, as the claimed operating-object holding means, as shown in figure in cover page; the original character set C⁰ is augmented 9 times until C^q is exhansted, abstract, col. 41, lines 11-67). Titchener teaches the that is included in said word being held by operating-object holding means and/or the result performed in advanced being held by operation-object holding means (the input code set 29 is holding the augment code result performed in advanced before receiving the next output code set on input 36 to be operated with the input 26, base set, figure in cover page, col. 41, lines 28-38).

Titchener teaches the holding an argument for an operation that is performed on a word that is subsequently held by the operating-object holding means, and the argument being include in said word being held by said operating object holding means and/or results of an operation performed in advance on the word being held by said operation-object means, the augmented code for generating variable length code by holding input code in the 29 (the inputting source code into memory means col. 47, lines 20-22, abstract, Fig. 6b, Fig. 8) for the objection for logic operation, and the subsequent augment codes, C¹...C^{q-1}, coming from output code set 35 (Fig. 6b) to applying code augmentation at 29 with input base set 28 (Fig. 6b) for the argument being applied to the subsequent operation. Titchener teaches the word is added a bit fed sequentially by monitoring and augmenting each bit to word C⁰ to C^q (col. 40, lines

predetermined operation means.

Art Unit: 2685

25-35), and augmented binary codes (col. 9, line 55 to col. 10, line 25).

Titchener does not clearly teach the logical operation on a combination of logic values. Regarding the logic operation on to be performed on a combination of logic values and the convolutional encoding, Kawazoe et al. ("Kawazoe") teaches the simple convolutional encoder and decoder of arbitrary length with arbitrary coding rate (col. 3, 40-43, col. 3, lines 40-68; col. 13, lines 20-53). Kawazoe teaches the logic operation to be performed on combination logic value for the convolutional encoder (as shown in Fig. 4-5, col. 4. line 46 to col. 7, line 59). Kawazoe teaches the convolutional decoder with logic operation and combination logic value (as shown in Fig. 12, col. 4, lines 33-36). Kawazoe teaches an efficient convolutional encoder/decoder, such that the encoder/decoder can be simpler (col. 3, lines 26-43). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify and include Titchener and to include Kawazoe's logic operation and combination logic for the convolutional encoder/decoder, such that the digital processing could be efficient on encoding and decoding. Regarding claim 8, Titchener has taught above the sequential operation for adjusting augmented code for generating variable augmented codes. Regarding claim 13, Titchener teaches the decoding assisting equipment above, the word length adjusting means for converting the result to target amount of codes; the operation

means into a sequence of constant fixed length for sequentially supplying to a subsequent

Art Unit: 2685

2. Claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Titchener in view of Kawazoe, as applied to claims 1, 2 above, and further in view of Lan et al. (US 5,787,099).

Page 7

Titchener and Kawazoe do not clearly teach the highest term in the polynomial is smaller than or equal to said word length.

Regarding claim 3, Lan teaches the system and method for encoding and decoding data using numerical computation in Galois fields (title). The integrated circuits and combinational logic (figure in cover page, Fig. 1-20b) contains the encoder/decoder 101 and holding register 105, for using multiplies to detect/correct errors in certain position (abstract). Lan considers the highest term in a polynomial is smaller than said word length, as to be the: for each code word (n symbol positions, k data positions), the check symbols are the coefficients of the remainder polynomial generated by dividing the polynomial of (n-1) order by the polynomial of order (n-k), such that the check symbols from the remainder could fit into (n-k-1) positions of the n symbols coded word (in col. 1, lines 44-63), such that the check symbols would fill up the remaining positions in each code with n symbol positions and k data positions. Lan teaches the technique for coding the symbols with check symbol without exceeding the maximum available n symbol positions, such that, at least the encoder/decoder could be upgraded and supplying the check symbols for error correction without exceeding maximum available n symbol positions. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Titchener, and to include Lan's technique for coding the symbols with check symbol without exceeding the maximum available n symbol

Art Unit: 2685

positions, such that, at least the encoder/decoder could be upgraded and supplying the check symbols for error correction without exceeding maximum available n symbol positions.

Regarding the operating-object holding means fed with pieces of transmission information divided into constant fixed length symbols, referring to examiner's comment in claim 1 above.

Regarding **claim 4**, referring to examiner's comment in claims 1-3 above for the decoding assistant equipment said operating-object holding means receives fixed length symbols; and the for each code word (n symbol positions, k data positions), the check symbols are the coefficients of the remainder polynomial generated by dividing the polynomial of (n-1) order by the polynomial of order (n-k), such that the check symbols from the remainder could fit into (n-k-1) positions of the n symbols coded word (in col. 1, lines 44-63), such that the check symbols would fill up the remaining positions in each code with n symbol positions and k data positions. Regarding the operating-object. holding means fed with pieces of transmission information divided into constant fixed length symbols, referring to claim 1 above.

Regarding **claim 6**, Titchener has taught above the adding bit at the end of code word at each level of augment, and the prefixing processing for adding bit before the most significant bit, for generating augmented variable length code.

Regarding **claim 7**, Titchener teaches the invalid bit string, the block code contains some redundancy (col. 12, lines 55-61), the adding redundancy in other coding scheme for correct synchronization (col. 22, lines 44-57).

Art Unit: 2685

Regarding claims 9, 11, Titchener has taught above in claim 1 for the decoding assisting equipment above, the word length adjusting means for converting the result to target amount of codes; the operation means into a sequence of constant fixed length for sequentially supplying to a subsequent predetermined operation means.

Regarding **claim 12**, Titchener has taught above in claim 1 for the decoding assisting equipment above, the word length adjusting means for converting the result to target amount of codes; the operation means into a sequence of constant fixed length for sequentially supplying to a subsequent predetermined operation means.

Regarding **claim 14** Titchener teaches the decoding assisting equipment above, the word length adjusting means for converting the result to target amount of codes; the operation means into a sequence of constant fixed length for sequentially supplying to a subsequent predetermined operation means.

3. Claims 5, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Titchener in view of Kawazoe, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kindred et al. (US 5,710,784).

Titchener and Kawazoe do not clearly teach the tree codes and the constraint of shorter word length.

Regarding claim 5, Kindred teaches the viterbi decoder for CDMA system (title, abstract, figure in cover page), comprising the rf interface receiver 24, the demodulator 26, the interleaver 32. The decoder, with input/output buffer, could simultaneously decoding at

Application/Control Number: 09/537,786 Page 10

Art Unit: 2685

multiple packet data rate, creating quality metric. The decoder could be reconfigured for different convolutional encoding algorithms (abstract, Fig. 5, col. 1, lines 21-25). Kindred considers the tree code has the length constraint k in col. 9, lines 35-47, for reducing the number of global sequences and selecting the best local path for generating the convolutional codes. Kindred considers the tree code with length constraint k such that the best path for generating the code from the tree structured codes. It is apparently obvious to include Kindred's technique for selecting the proper sequence and best path for generating the convolutional tree codes, to Titchener, such that the system could be upgraded for efficiently generating the tree code by utilizing Kindred's technique. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention, essentially if not obvious, to modify and include Kindred's technique for selecting the proper sequence and best path for generating the convolutional tree codes, to Titchener as modified above, such that the system could be upgraded for efficiently generating the tree code by utilizing Kindred's technique. Regarding the operating-obj. holding means fed with pieces of transmission information divided into constant fixed length symbols, referring to claim 1 above. Regarding claim 10, Titchener has taught above in claim 1 for the decoding assisting equipment above, the word length adjusting means for converting the result to target amount of codes; the operation means into a sequence of constant fixed length for sequentially supplying to a subsequent predetermined operation means.

6. Claim 15, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Titchener in view of Kawazoe, and further in view of Astrachan (US 5,612,974).

Art Unit: 2685

Regarding claim 15, Titchener and Kawazoe has taught above all other claimed features, except the radio transmitter, the radio receiver, the wireless interface, the encoder/decoder. However, Astrachan teaches the single integrated circuit for performing multitude of communication tasks (col. 1, lines 7-11) having radio transmitter and receiver for the communication unit 10. The communication unit 10 contains the RF interface for transmitting and receiving signals via antenna 14 for the wireless interface to the TDAM, CDMA network, col. 4, lines 61-65). The communication 10 comprises rf interface 16, demodulator 18, block decode 202 (Fig. 5). The communication device 10, comprises the block encoder 228, the encoded stream 146, the modulator 34 (Fig. 6). Astrachan teaches the communication unit 10 comprising the block encoder/decoder for rf communication with the wireless, TDMA, CDMA networks, to Ttichener, such that the message or information could be obviously transmitted and received via the rf communication channels. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention, essentially if not obvious, to modify and include Astrachan's communication unit 10 comprising the block encoder/decoder for rf communication with the wireless, TDMA, CDMA networks, to Ttichener as modified above, such that the message or information could be obviously transmitted and received via the rf communication channels. Regarding claim 16, Titchener and Kawazoe has taught above all other claimed features. except the radio transmitter, the radio receiver, the wireless interface, the encoder/decoder. Astrachan has taught above the radio receiver; the wireless interface; the operating-object

Art Unit: 2685

holding means; the argument holding means; the operation means and the combination of said logic values.

Response to Argument

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Regarding applicant's argument for the no teachings for the object being subject for logic operation, the argument being applied to subsequent operation, the word is added a bit being fed sequentially (applicant's remark, page 2, last two line to page 3, first two lines), Titchener-'890 teaches the augmented code for generating variable length code by holding input code in the 29 (the inputting source code into memory means col. 47, lines 20-22, abstract, Fig. 6b, Fig. 8) for the objection for logic operation, and the subsequent augment codes, $C^1 cdots C^{q-1}$, coming from output code set 35 (Fig. 6b) to applying code augmentation at 29 with input base set 28 (Fig. 6b) for the argument being applied to the subsequent operation. Titchener teaches the word is added a bit fed sequentially by monitoring and augmenting each bit to word C⁰ to C^q (col. 40, lines 25-35), and augmented binary codes (col. 9, line 55 to col. 10, line 25). In view of the above teachings from Titchener-890, pending claims are remaining in rejection manner. For the coding/decoding in communication technology, it is well-known that the coder/decoder can be operated at high speed without changing hardware for increasing efficiency, reliability, for improving processing delay, saving memory spacing and with less power consumption. Kawazoe teaches the polynomial for decoding, encoding, having constrain K for controlling the length of generating polynomial (abstract; col. 3, lines 47-68; col. 17, line 66 to col. 18, line 5). In view of above teachings, claims 1-16 are

Art Unit: 2685

remaining in the rejection manner.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Chow whose telephone number is (703)-306-5615.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (703)-305-4385.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Charles Chow C.C.

January 6, 2004.

EDWARD F. URBAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600